

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,750	12/02/2003	Christer Sinderby	40128/00302	6823
30636	7590 12/22/2005		INER	
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP 150 BROADWAY, SUITE 702 NEW YORK, NY 10038			COHEN, LEE S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3739	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·
رے

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/726,750	SINDERBY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lee S. Cohen	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_:					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/10/04.	6) Other:	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,750

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Duroux (4,078,553). Applicant's attention is directed to Figures 2 and 4 as well as column 3, lines 50 – column 4, line 25.

Claims 1-8, 21, and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Minogue (6,134,480). Applicant's attention is directed to Figures 2 and column 2, lines 1-14. The intended use (e.g., measuring and the labeling one of the electrodes as a reference electrode) fails to define over the structure of the reference.

Claims 1-16 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Swanson et al (5,991,650). Applicant's attention is directed to element 46 that coats the electrodes. The coating can be a hydrogel or conductive plastic (column 4, line 6). Applicant's attention is also directed to column 9, line 64 – column 10, line 9. The intended use (e.g., labeling one of the electrodes as a reference electrode) fails to define over the structure of the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,750 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1-16 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sinderby (6,584,347). Applicant's attention is directed to Figure 4 and column 5, line 1-50. In light of the different inventive entity, the reference is properly applied against the claimed invention (see MPEP 2136.04).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sinderby (6,584,347) in view of Ramsey, III (6,148,222). Sinderby fails to disclose the particular structural features for an esophageal probe. Ramsey, III in Figures 5-7, discloses the general construct of such a probe. Various features not specifically shown are well known in the art (i.e., wrapping wire to form an electrode and plural lumens). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to modify Sinderby with such features to achieve the desired structure since they are mere design expedients in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art discloses a similar electrode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3739

LSC

November 30, 2005